Claim AA1

The government should be more involved in protecting our privacy and persons because good and bad people can access information that can be harmful if found or create harm to a person if something was found out that should not have been found out. According to John Markoff in the article "Taking the Mystery Out of Web Anonymity," "The idea is to create a federation of private online identity systems. Users could select which system to join, and only registered users whose identities have been authenticated could navigate those systems. ~~The pieces of evidence support the claim because~~ creating these systems, describe only those that have the authority can navigate that system with you. This means that privacy is a thing and less harm could come to someone. The source is credible because he writes for the New York Times. This newspaper tries to get all the facts before creating a story and printing.

Creating private, not public identity systems

Need / Demand for privacy

The government should be more involved in protecting our privacy and persons because good and bad people can access information that can be harmful if found or create harm to a person if something was found out that should not have been found out. According to John Markoff in the article "Taking the Mystery Out of Web Anonymity" which is a credible source due to the owner being The New York Times which tries to get all of their facts before printing, "The idea is to create a federation of private online identity systems. Users could select which systems to join, and only registered users

1.

Scanned by CamScanner

whose identities have been authenticated could navigate those systems." The government should be the one to control those systems than private companies because they can hopefully be more trusted than a private company you know nothing about. Creating these systems allows only those that have the authority can navigate that system with you. This means that privacy is a thing and less harm can come to someone.

Scanned by CamScanner

BB 1

— started w/ the FBI tracking terrorists - now police / whoever

Source: FOX News - Cell Phone tracking - Liberty Pen - Fri, 11 Nov, 2012

Details: - Police track cell phones w/o court permission for "surveillance" — some carriers require warrants - companies have manuals to treat request

blk it happens so often - w/o warrant = crime / legislation in progress to require court order — police say it isn't for minding privacy its for safety - warrant slows it down — checks + balances? — Crime may go down but it would kill liberty / constitution.

1/20/15- As a United States citizen, I am writing an argument to convince legislators that the government should protect our online privacy because the unfair and unnoticed use of our information isn't punishable to those who use it. According to the article, "Businesses Are Tracking Your Habits", Lindsay Lavne states, "In December 2013, the FTC settled its first geolocation complaint against a company that failed to adequately inform its users about how their information was used... tell users, and provide information about their privacy practices." This shows that the act of using information without informing the subject has been deemed wrong by the government, and stricter punishments should be used.

1/22/15 As a United States citizen, I am writing to convince our legislators that the government should protect our online privacy. As it stands, the unknown and unfair use of our information isn't being punished. According to Lindsey Lavne in her article, "Businesses are Tracking Your Habits", "In December 2013, the FTC settled its first geolocation complaint against a company that failed to adequately inform its users about how their information was being used... tell users and provide information about their privacy policies." This shows the government

Scanned by CamScanner

acknowledges that unfair use of our information is wrong, so there should be some kind of punishment for those who commit the act. Some may say that this information is for the better of our society, but how far are we willing to go? The fact stands that we are having a violation of our basic human rights.

Scanned by CamScanner

CC

As a citizen, I am writing an argument to convince teens that our government should do more to protect our online privacy. Our government should do more to protect online privacy because not having only online privacy makes it open for stalkers. In the article "Who's watching you online? FTC pushes 'Do not track' plan" by herb Weisbaum, states "Information about the sites you visit, the things you buy, and the topics you search is used to build a detailed profil about you. In most cases this is done without your knowledge or consent." If the government protected us more then would not be a way to make profiles about us, and then stalkers couldn't stalk you.

Scanned by CamScanner

The government should do more to protect our online privacy because our information from cookie tracking can have access by charging rent for it. According to "Web Giants Threaten End to Cookie Tracking," Scott Meyer, chief executive of Evidon Inc. states, "whoever controls access to all that data can charge rent for it - and has a tremendous advantage going forward." Companies are violating peoples rights of the constitution by selling and making money on cookie tracking.

The government should do more to protect our online privacy because our information from cookie tracking can have access by charging rent for it. According to "Web Giants Threaten End to Cookie Tracking," Scott Meyer, chief executive of Evidon Inc., states "whoever controls access to all that data can charge rent for it - and has a tremendous advantage going forward." No one wants people to have their personal information, that's why we don't give out our social securities. So why would we want people to have our cookie tracking records, especially when companies can have access by charging rent.

Scanned by CamScanner

EE

As an internet user, I am writing an arguement to convince citizens that our government should do more to protect our online privacy

The government should do more to protect our online privacy because our personal information is being accessed without us knowing and withaut our permission.

It is dangerous to be online because people could be stalking you without you knowing. Police can be looking at all of your personal information without your permission, which opens to stalking and identity theft.

As an internet user, I am writing an arguement to convince citizens that our government should do more to protect our online privacy. The government

Scanned by CamScanner

Revised

FF

[redacted]

As a High School Student, I am writing an argument to convice U.S. Citizens, That they should not trust the government with our privacy. This could be bad because the government could share information with people you dont want having that information. According to "KSL.com" obamacare is sending out private information.

Scanned by CamScanner

[redacted]

GG

As a high School Student I am writing an arguement to Convince our government to be more aware of internet safety and proctect Peoples privacy

The government Should allow people more privacy than what they do most websites require personal information that could get leaked out to strangers. With the information a Stranger could impersonate you.

"Law enforcement is taking advantage of out dated privacy laws to track Americans like never before."

Scanned by CamScanner